

# STAFF ASSESSMENT: Abuse Contact Policy Update - v3

Proposal	AFPUB-2018-GEN-001-DRAFT03
Title	Abuse Contact Policy Update – v3
Proposal UR	<a href="https://www.afrinic.net/policy/2018-gen-001-d3#proposal">https://www.afrinic.net/policy/2018-gen-001-d3#proposal</a>
Assessed	20 July 2019

## 1.0 Staff Understanding of the Proposal

- a. Replacement policy text to current CPM 8.0 (Abuse Contact Information) - [sec 8.1]
- b. Introduces a mandatory "abuse-c" attribute in inetnum, inet6num and aut-num whois database objects. The value of this attribute is an e-mail address (abuse-mailbox), to which all abuse related information shall be sent. The abuse-mailbox is optional in child objects of parent direct allocations or assignments issued by AFRINIC - [sec 8.2]
- c. The abuse-mailbox must be valid and actively monitored through period verification - [sec 8.2]
- d. Email sent to the abuse-mailbox must need manual intervention by the recipient **at some point** - [sec 8.3]
- e. AFRINIC must provision a system to validate the abuse-mailbox. The actual process is left to AFRINIC staff discretion, but could follow an example procedure in sec 3.2 of the policy proposal, with a recommended minimum validation interval of at least once every 6 months - [sec 8.4]
- f. Abuse-c mailboxes that fail validation tests will lead to eventual **blocking of certain services**, at AFRINIC discretion (**and in accordance with the relevant policies/procedures**) - [sec 8.5]
- g. An escalation mechanism to AFRINIC must be provided where any concerns with the validation process can be reported by community and/or members. This can also help with manual re-validations. - [sec 8.6]

## 2.0 Staff Comments

- a. There is already an existing solution through the **IRT object**, which is currently optional - (and which seems to address the intent of the proposal) - which can be made mandatory for directly issued resource objects by AFRINIC. An added advantage of using the IRT is that it can hold more information than just an e-mail address, such as physical address, phone numbers and PGP keys for secure communication.
- b. During the AFRINIC30 Public Policy Meeting, the author clarified that the IRT can be an 'alias' of the abuse-c. We note however that it is confusing to use IRT as an alias to the abuse-c and the other way round - we would not know how to implement such a requirement unless the author guides with detailed specifications through the policy proposal or through the (DBWG) database working group.
- c. In proposed 8.5 where abuse-c mailboxes that fail validation tests will lead to eventual **blocking of certain services**, at AFRINIC discretion (**and in accordance with the relevant policies/procedures**). The policy proposal needs to be clear on what specific services shall be blocked. However, it's important to note that without this clause in the first place, a breach of the policy (such as lack of valid abuse-c if this proposal were to pass) amounts a breach of the RSA, which can lead to eventual revocation of the same RSA and associated services.

## 3.0 Legal Observations

None

## 4.0 Implementation:

**4.1 Timeline & Impact:** About 6 months of software development work.

**4.2 Implementation Requirements:** Modifications to WHOIS codebase depending on the solution that will eventually be ratified.